

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,391	02/05/2004	Kozo Shimizu	042080	3325
38834 7	590 10/05/2005	EXAMINER		
	N, HATTORI, DANIE	GURLEY, LYNNE ANN		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20036	2812		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Δ
$(\mathcal{P}$
\sim
7

Office Action Summary 10/771,331			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Lymne A. Gurley 2812			10/771,391	SHIMIZU ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address ─ Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of unemaps be available under the provision of 30 °CR 1-1300, his overthe hower, may a reply be beinely filed If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxemum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failuse for reply within the side or dended period for reply will, by tabasic, cause the application to become ABANDOFIC (31 U.S. € 3 °CR 1-1301). Any reply received by the Cliffic lister than these marries after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any center plants than application in the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any center plants than application in a condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the drawing(s) filed on 0.2 November 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) □ objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 □ Some • ○ □ None of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bur		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensible of time rays is available index in provisions of 37 °CR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - Explored to the reply support the available index in the provisions of 37 °CR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - Fallw to reply within the set of extended period for reply will. by abusine, cause the application to become ABADIONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any twoly received by the fills of the The Revention station period will be abused. - Fallw to reply within the set of extended period for reply will. by abusine, cause the application to become ABADIONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any twoly received by the fills of the The Revention station period will be abused to the communication. - Fallw to reply within the set of extended period for reply will. by abusine, cause the application to become ABADIONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any twoly received by the fill of the time the remaining date of this communication. - Fallw to reply within the set of extended period for reply will. by abusine, cause the application to become ABADIONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any twoly received by the fill of the distribution of the fill of the communication. - Fallw to reply within the set of extended period for reply will. by abuse, cause the application to become ABADIONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any two reply fill of the distribution of the fill of the communication. - Fallw to reply within the set of the communication. - Fallw to reply within the set of the communication. - Fallw to reply within the set of the communication. - Fallw to reply within the set of the communication. - Fallw to reply within the set of the communication. - Fallw to reply the set of the communication. - Fallw to reply within the set of the communication. - Fallw to reply within the set of the communication. - Fallw to reply within the set of the communic			Lynne A. Gurley	2812				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of them rays be wisible under the provision of 37 cFR 1.13(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (8) MONTIS from the mailing date of this communication. Failune to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. by stables, case the application become ABANDOFICS US U.S. (5.13). Any reply received by the Diffice later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search golden them adjectment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 July 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ○ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed. 6 ○ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to. 8 □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to. 8 □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 ○ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2004 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ○ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2004 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been			ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 July 2005. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2004 is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☑ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. LYNNE A. GURLEY → PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, Au 2812 Objected to PTO-1820 PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, Au 2812 Objected to PTO-1820 PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, Au 2812 Objected to PTO-1820 PRIMARY PATENT Application (PTO-182)	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☐ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are elouved. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 November 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-613) Paper No(s)/Mai	Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☐ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are elouved. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 November 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-613) Paper No(s)/Mai	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	ıly 2005.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	·							
A) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on @2 November 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) **TC 2800, AU 2812 Notice of Professor's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Professor's Patient Application (PTO-152)	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) **TC 2800, AU 2812 1 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5 ☐ Motice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) **TC 2800, AU 2812 1 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5 ☐ Motice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 November 2004</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1 □ Notice of Oratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 November 2004</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) To 2800, AU 2812 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			vn from consideration					
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812 Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	With democratical					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) PRIMARY PATENTE EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812 1) Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \$\textit{02} November 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812 Interview Summany (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	-						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \$\textit{02} November 2004\$ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·		r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \$\textit{02} November 2004\$ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Amaliaati	ion Bonoro						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		·						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812 1) Interview Jummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)⊠			-				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER** **TC 2800, AU 2812** 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) **TO 2800, AU 2812** 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	441			•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11)	The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
a) \[\begin{align*} All b) \end{align*} Some * c) \end{align*} None of: 1. \[\begin{align*} Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. \[\begin{align*} Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \[\begin{align*} \] 3. \[\begin{align*} Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Value 17.2(a) \) Value 18.2 \] Value 19.2 \]	Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Value 17.2(a)								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. LYNNE A. GURLEY		1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. LYNNE A. GURLEY		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. LYNNE A. GURLEY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. LYNNE A. GURLEY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
Attachment(s) PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) PAGENTAL STATEMENT (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Attachment(s) TC 2800, AU 2812 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) TC 2800, AU 2812 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) TC 2800, AU 2812 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) TC 2800, AU 2812 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			- Jy	Justy				
Attachment(s) TC 2800, AU 2812 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) TC 2800, AU 2812 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			LÝNN	E A. GURLEY (/				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachmen	at(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				

Application/Control Number: 10/771,391 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 7/15/05. Currently, claims 1-9 are pending.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

1. The replacement drawings for figures 5A-5C were received on 11/2/04. These drawings are replacement sheets for Figures 5A-5C <u>only</u>. The drawings previously filed on 2/5/04 are approved for figures 1-4.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishiguchi (US 5,461,261, dated 10/24/95).
- 5. Nishiguchi shows the method as claimed in figures 3-19 and corresponding text, with emphasis on figures 3, 11 and 13-19, as: forming an insulating film (105 –figs. 11&15; 106 figs. 13&18) on a surface of a semiconductor element or a circuit wiring board having electrodes 100/101-104 (also column 3, line 67; column 4, lines 1-2)on the surface thereof; forming openings (figs. 11, 13 &18) in the insulating film by patterning the insulating film and then

Art Unit: 2812

removing portions of the insulating film above the electrodes; supplying a first metal into the openings; heating the first metal to melt and coagulate the first metal; supplying a second metal into the openings on the first metal; heating the first metal and the second metal to melt and coagulate the first and the second metal (alternate layers of two different metals capable of eutectic bonding upon mounting are deposited by electroplating, melting and coagulation occurs between each layer, and the entire bump is melted and coagulated; See column 2, lines 25-31; column 3, lines 58-65; column 4, lines 28-38; column 5, lines 12-67 for materials including Sb, In, number of layers, which includes the structure of only two metal layers, melting in the eutectic bonding, and electroplating; column 6, lines 1-26 for dry resist, height of the metal layers including mushroom effect above the insulator); and removing the insulating film (column 6, line 27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,391

Art Unit: 2812

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 4

- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 9. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishiguchi (US 5,461,261, dated 10/24/95) in view of Nakata et al. (US 2004/0079194, dated 4/29/04).

Nishiguchi shows the method substantially as claimed and as described in the preceding paragraphs.

Nishiguchi lacks anticipation only in not teaching that: 1) the first metal has a characteristic in which a volume thereof is increased when it is heated to be molten and coagulated; 2) the first metal contains as a component thereof Bi or an alloy including Bi as a primary component; and 3) a content of Bi in the first metal is in the range from 20 to 70 wt% of the sum of the first metal and the second metal.

Nakata teaches the use of Bi (0.5 to 15% by weight) in a Sn composition, since Bi forms a low melting point alloy phase with Sn.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have had the first metal have a characteristic in which a volume thereof is increased when it is heated to be molten and coagulated; to have had the first metal contain as a component thereof Bi or an alloy including Bi

Art Unit: 2812

as a primary component; and to have had a content of Bi in the first metal in the range from 20 to 70 wt% of the sum of the first metal and the second metal, in the method of Nishiguchi, with the motivation that Nakata teaches that it is well known to include Bi in a Sn alloy and since Bi also forms a low melting point alloy phase with Sn, the Bi would preserve the eutectic properties of the bump formed in Nishiguchi. Additionally, since Nakata teaches the 0.5 to 15% composition of Bi by weight, in the absence of criticality, this range is shown to be on the same order of the 20 to 70% in the instant application and, therefore would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Finally, the addition of Bi in the method of Nishiguchi, would make the volume of the first metal increase when it is heated to be molten and coagulated, since it is characteristically hard and brittle.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments, see pages 4-7 of the amendment filed 7/15/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to Applicant's remarks, regarding the heating step between the steps of "supplying a first metal into the openings" and "supplying a second metal into the openings on the coagulated first metal", Nishiguchi shows the heating step by describing a eutectic alloy reaction taking place between the layers, followed by the entire bump being softened (column 3, lines 58-67; column 4, lines 25-39; column 5, lines 36-45). The eutectic temperature is the lowest possible melting temperature of the mixture of both metal layers, so that it appears that, inherently, Nishiguchi shows that the metals are exposed to an elevated temperature or heating step as the layers are formed on one another. Additionally, since there are multiple layers of

Art Unit: 2812

the metal deposited, any one of the metal layers may be coagulated with the layer below it before the subsequent metal layer is deposited.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne A. Gurley whose telephone number is 571-272-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on 571-272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne A. Gurley

Primary Patent Examiner TC 2800, Art Unit 2812

Page 6

LAG October 3, 2005